

WORKSHOP MINUTES
 Kekaha Host Community Benefits Fund
Workshop Meeting No. 41
 Kekaha Neighborhood Center, Kekaha, Kauai, HI
 June 25, 2013
 Meeting Time: 6:00 pm – 7:00 pm

CAC Attendees Present: Bruce Pleas, Jose Bulatao Jr., Glenn Molander, Evelyn Olores, Myra Elliott, Thomas Nizo
Absent: (Excused) Robert Jackson , (Excused) Al "Big Boy" Kupo
Facilitator: Yvonne Hosaka
County Administration: Mayor Bernard Carvalho, Gary Heu, Beth Tokioka, Mauna Kea Trask, Al Castillo, Nalani Brun, Ben Sullivan, Ernest Barreira,
KIUC: David Bissell, Jim Kelly, Debbie Santiago, Pat Gegen, Allan Smith
Solarize Kekaha Project Proposal Applicants: Dennis Eguchi, Buddy Ayudan, Patrick Pereira, Garrett Agena, Robin Danner, Mary Jean Buza Sims

Agenda Item	Minutes	Action
I. Call To Order/Roll Call	E Ola Mau Na Leo O Kekaha – Solarize Kekaha Project Proposal Workshop Meeting #41 Called to order by Chair Bruce Pleas. Chair turned meeting over to Facilitator, Yvonne Hosaka	Meeting Called to order 6:10 pm
Yvonne Hosaka	Aloha Everyone. Thank you for taking the time from your busy schedules to be here for discussion regarding the Solarize Kekaha Project. My name is Yvonne Hosaka and I am the Facilitator for the Kekaha Host Community Benefit Citizens Advisory Committee. My role is to help us stay friendly, focused, on task and on time. Before we begin, a few housekeeping items, restrooms are located to the left and our meeting tonight is being recorded so I kindly ask that you speak into the microphone as these notes will be the basis for our summary and will simply reflect what is said here tonight. Public Testimony shall be held at the conclusion of our agenda tonight. We value the voice of the community residents therefore, we ask that you raise your hand at the end of our agenda discussions and we will call you up in that order. Before we get started, I'd like to ask Mayor Bernard Carvalho to say a few words.	
Mayor Bernard Carvalho	Good Afternoon and Aloha! I'm happy to be with all of you and I know we have a great opportunity and all of us are here to really move forward. There has been a lot of discussion going back and forth and I know a lot of hard work has gone into seeking a project that fits right here or is something that is proposed and advocated by the community. I want to make it clear that back in 2009 I appointed a Citizens Advisory Committee the CAC and the CAC is the one I'm working closely with to give me so that we can make a decision sooner than later what the Kekaha community wants to move forward on and I'm talking tonight about of course this project that we'll be talking about. I'd like to thank the Uncles for advocating and being present of course and being out in the community and coming forward. I have met with the uncles, I have met with members of the CAC of course and other members of the community and I want to make clear that the uncles understood that whatever information was shared would come back to the CAC and the CAC would look at it and then I would get the final proposal and then we will move forward. I am hoping with all the parties here tonight, we've got our team members here at the table as well, I wanted to make sure we were well represented so we can answer some of your questions, we can move forward, KIUC is in the house and of course the community here this afternoon. I look forward to some healthy discussions but please, we need to move forward Kekaha Community. I am looking forward to a proposal that I can look at in a timely manner and make some commitments so we can move forward with this opportunity for Kekaha, so let's begin.	
Yvonne Hosaka	Thank you Mayor. You should all have a copy of the latest submittal for the Solarize Kekaha Project Proposal, if you don't, please raise your hand and I will get a copy to you. The purpose and intent of tonight's meeting was to bring together everyone involved in this Solarize Kekaha Proposed project to collectively pool resources and provide a cooperative, balanced mixture. The end goal is to have a better understanding of the project in its entirety, the possible outcomes as well as an alignment of all groups. At this time, we will start with a presentation from Solarize Kekaha. I ask that we hold all questions until the conclusion of their presentation. Ok. Dennis.	
Dennis Eguchi	Thank you everyone for coming tonight. The County, KIUC, CAC Members, the community. I'd like to introduce the four of us who put in this proposal, Wayne Ayudan, Patrick Pereira, Garrett Agena and	

	<p>myself, Dennis. Representing us is Robin Danner. Robin will be doing the presentation to you so I will ask Robin to take it from here.</p>
<p>Robin Danner</p>	<p>Aloha, thank you uncle. As uncle said, I am from the east side, I live in Anahola. I'm from Kauai and I have been working with the Uncles for a little over a year now along with the County. If you look at your packet, we will go to section one. Essentially we put together a 3-Part package that lays out and walks us through each important component of this project. The first section is about where the uncles have been, where they started and where we are right now. So, first of all back in November 2011 the project is submitted under a short form grant application, excellent by the way, the formatting and the grant proposal. I do a lot of work with grants and I was very impressed with the CAC's process. Then it is reviewed and then, the process is either you're invited or not invited to submit a long form grant application. The uncle's proposal was invited to submit a long form grant that was due in March 2012 and it was reviewed and recommendations were sent to the Mayor for final decision. The project was approved by the CAC and sent to the Mayor in May of 2012 according to the paperwork that the facilitator provided. The Mayor can deny can approve as is or may add requirements. By July and August of 2012 last year, the Mayor had made pretty clear, or the county officials made it clear that they wanted to add requirements with the uncles so a couple of us provided pro bono and continued to provide pro bono assistance to them to meet those things. There were five areas that the county specifically discussed with the uncles to strengthen the project. First, the County wanted to see, make sure that this amazing opportunity focused on Kekaha could be replicated in other communities on Kaua'i. Very good goal and that was first and foremost. The second was on implementation. They wanted to improve and tighten the implementation of the project. The third was governance. To give the body that was requesting the funds more strength rather than a loose group of uncles but to be tied together under, or have some institutional meat to it. The fourth was quality control. The concern raised rightfully by county officials. Is there going to be competitive bidding here? Is there going to be quality control? What are the quality control solar contractors going to be and the fifth was the county as a good Mayor would, would want to see increased reach. To reach more residents than was proposed and the maximum residents possible. So those were the five things discussed and what we worked together on through July, August, September, October, November. Then the grantee worked with the Mayor and the county to revise the project to meet these requirements from July 2012 all the way to March 2013. That's what the uncles, myself and County staff have been working on just to hit those five issues. In March 2013, just a few months ago, we did meet with the Mayor, we reviewed the five areas that we originally started with to improve back in July and it was a very good discussion and there was a sixth condition added reasonably so which was, it's been a year, where does the community stand and so the uncles committed to organize a community meeting to layout the project and it's status. That was done and so now the project is awaiting grant execution after this workshop and to get approval of the Mayor. The following documents give you a detailed timeline of major issues that have happened over the last year and a half since November 23 when the first short form was submitted and December 7th. The County provided comments back to the CAC on their short form then the long form was submitted in March 2012, the County again provided comments to the CAC on the long form concerns. The CAC then recommended the approval, the Mayor requested the information and that's when we started our dialogue with the Mayor and his staff to answer some of the concerns that they had and how could the project be strengthened. So this timeline lays out just in detail the types of each major milestone along the way during the last eighteen months. So I'll fast forward to section II. Section II lays out all of the project adjustments. The major project adjustments that were made to strengthen the project at the request of the County with very good guidance. This matrix before you lays out the 12 major components of the original grant proposal, the middle part describes what was in the original proposal and the adjusted project implementations, and the last column describes how the project changed from the original proposal. So if we look at the purpose of the project. The exact purpose of this project was to provide economic and environmental sustainability for the community by decreasing the cost of electricity for residents who have resided in Kekaha the longest. That, there has been no material changes to that project proposal. It remains exactly the same. It was actually an excellent project purpose that provides the flexibility to utilize multiple ways to get to the goal. The funding amount. The original request was at \$800,000. The CAC recommended \$780,000 so that's not a very big material change. The project was updated as the County required that the project budget had to be updated and we developed an alternative project in the event an administration staffing of the project was not funded by separate of County dollars. What I mean by that is, it was so important to the County, rightfully so, to try to take what happens in Kekaha, replicate it for other communities around the island. That's going to cost funds to do that replication so the Mayor was willing to fund that and fold that in within administration as a learning experience for Kekaha and then the rest of Kauai. #3 the applicant, the original applicant</p>

was the PV Project group which you see here where E Ola Mau was the 501c3 fiscal sponsor. That has not changed the principals of the proposal group remain the same. What has happened however, as one of the criteria as requested bylaws have been drafted to bring institutional process to this group so that there is a transparent and accountable process for expanding this group so if one of the uncles doesn't want to participate in Solarize Kekaha or the project, there is an institution like other organizations to increase or add members to the board. So that was adopted in January of this year. So still the applicant remains the same. The 4th was cultural economic development and social needs impact. All of the impact descriptors that relate directly to the reduction of oil based energy and the increase of solar based energy. None of that has changed with the improvements that we worked on with the county to strengthen this project. Residential qualifications. The original proposal said that you must be a Kekaha resident that owns and occupies a home with a priority system based on the length of residency in Kekaha. That has had no material change with the updates and adjustments made, based on the discussions with the County since last year. Those qualifications remain the same however, we have tightened up and showed the County and we can show you today how that qualification will be met. #6. How the eligibility is determined. The committee determines ranking. In the original proposal the uncles basically said there will be a committee of 5 that will determine residency. There is no material change in that either except that it was improved in discussions with the County and meeting those five areas that the Mayor laid out for us. We adjusted it to be formalized as the Kekaha Board of Directors taking that action. #7 is how is the purpose of the project achieved? The original proposal said that funds would be provided to eligible homeowners to install PV on their homes including an option to do so under a PPA and a Pre-Paid PPA approach. Those items were discussed in the short and the long form. This was expanded. This was one of the major areas that the County challenged the project to increase its reach and to increase its flexibility for the community of Kekaha. So it has been adjusted to expand the ability of eligible home owners to install solar water, install PV via purchase or lease with the grant funds and project was expanded to include solar energy education regardless of PV funding use. In other words, to be a big campaign to make sure that the entire community of Kekaha had full access to education of sometimes complicated or intimidated processes. So #7 was one of the major areas that fell under the five areas of improvement that the Mayor and the County wanted the project to see and I think it was an excellent approach. #8 is the number of households. The original proposal had a minimum of 80 systems at \$10,000 per system. Again one of the priorities was to expand reach so the reach was increased and improved and it was adjusted to a minimum of 141 systems at \$5000 per PV system for solar plus another \$300 will be reached just in solar education. Again reaching for those bars that were laid out by the County over the last 9 months. #9 Marketing strategy. In the original proposal the uncles laid out that their marketing strategy would be canvassing homes, community meetings, etc., etc. Zero material change to that. 10 – The Evaluation approach. The uncles basically said they would survey all the residents on the changes of utility costs and quality of life based on participating in the program. There has not been a huge change to that except that in addition to the survey's because of the replication, there will be an additional evaluation to capture the data needed from the Kekaha project to be able to present to the Mayor for another potential replication in another Kauai community. The economic benefits, no material changes at all. Those remain the same. And the last, #12 is other funds leveraged. The original proposal listed no other funds or resources planned for the project. Just the amount requested by the CAC and by the County. Because of those five areas that the County asked us to improve and strengthen, the adjustment has improved that in that, we're going to bring in; we have a permanent office that's been provided for the project later this year once it's constructed, it's under construction now. And there is in planning project management expertise and because of the education component that the Mayor and the County wanted it to be more than just systems, they wanted it to be an educational campaign about solar, there will be other resources that we will be able to educate the community on existing resources. So that lays out the basics of the original proposal versus how the proposal was improved. The Second page lays out, members of the CAC the 5 basic and then 6th edition by the Mayor, the 5 basic adjustments that last year in July, the County sat down with the uncles and laid out where the improvements could be made. The first you'll see is #1, replication, to include for them to be willing to accept the additional deliverable in their project to document their process and to assist the County to replicate the project in other areas on the island and the uncles agreed to that and they agreed to add that to the task work. The 2nd, the County asked the uncles and the project to scan National programs that are called Solarize. So, Solarize Massachusetts, Solarize San Francisco, And Solarize Oregon. On the continent there is a trend of Solarized Programs that are campaigns to do two things. One, to bring resources to communities to be able to put solar on their homes and the second, to do a campaign, an educational campaign that is very intense and provides easy access for residents to ask questions about solar systems. It was a big research project that we went on to explore this aspect and

	<p>the uncles agreed after conducting the research to adopt the solarized campaign approach to this project because it didn't take away from the original approach it actually added and strengthened their original concept. Third was governance. This is very standard that a grantor would want to strengthen the governance of the recipient of the grant funds so the outcome for that was the uncles established a non-profit organization called The Solarize Kekaha. They have formal by-laws with a board consisting of the original project leaders that can increase the size of the board in 2013. E Ola Mau remains as the 501c3 tax exempt fiscal sponsor as originally proposed and now it's actually better for E Ola Mau to have an entity to be engaged with as it is with the County to have an entity to be engaged with. Quality Control was another major area and again, the concerns raised by the County and very good concerns is will there be a competitive component in the delivery of the systems in the distribution of the funds. Or will there be at least a consumer based quality control approach? How will solar contractors be identified, how will they be selected? Again another very valid concern and area and so the uncles agreed to implement a transparent process on any competitive or selection of solar contractors or to design a quality based, consumer based selection process which would be subject to County approval. Final approval. The fifth item was to increase reach. Very much concern that, hey we've only got so much money, there is over 700 homes in Kekaha. How do we make the biggest bang for the buck? Again, another very valid area to be answered and that was to increase the reach of the project beyond the initial systems. The outcome for that is the uncles researched grant and loan strategies to stretch the limited funding and then they settled on increasing the reach through the national solarize campaign strategy by facilitating awareness about solar leasing, solar purchase and including in our educational component a listing of existing financial resources besides just this solar project. There are many resources coming on line. The credit unions, banks, non-profits, CDFI's all have very low cost solar capital so that's going to be another way we'll be able to leverage the project so I think that the five issues that the County laid out for us to work on really paid off. And the final was to host a 2013 community meeting. The uncles did that there was over 300 people in attendance it was really amazing to see. So those were the six areas. Did you want me to continue Yvonne? The next part will lay out what the six adjustments are. The next document is the project implementation plan with those six adjustments incorporated into the approach. So that's what the next page is. There were four major categories. Items in blue have all been done or accepted. Items under #4 would be items that still need to be adopted. Based on the work that has been done over the last 9 months the project proposal remains the same but they have defined the implementation plan that's usually done after grant execution. Under most scenarios, implementation plans are done after grant execution. So I don't know if you want me to run these Yvonne.</p>
Yvonne Hosaka	Did the CAC Members or anyone else have any questions on what has been presented up to this point?
Jose Bulatao	Is it time to ask questions at this point and time? For me, having an overdose of so much information is not the most effective way of keeping focused of what is going on and so I would just like to bring some voice into clarity so that the CAC members and the people who have taken the time to attend this workshop may be aware of some of the concerns that had come up in your presentation. #1. What you have covered according to what we have in front of us is dated June 16, 2013 at the very top.
Robin Danner	I'm sorry June 16th, what?
Jose Bulatao	2013. The very first page on the very top. From what I received in my packet.
Robin Danner	The very first page? The memo. The cover memo. Ok, I'm looking at it.
Jose Bulatao	Ok and what follows from the information you presented an overview of these various parts. All of this is dated June 16, 2013?
Robin Danner	We were required to submit this submittal to the facilitator a week prior to this meeting so yes. This was produced on June 16 th by the uncles.
Jose Bulatao	So much of the information from what you presented in the past, you covered for instance 18 months from May or June 2012, November ok so, I simply wanted to point out that there was much that occurred and much that transitioned because by the time you came and talked to the CAC in February 2013, it was the first time that the CAC was hearing the options that you yourself presented to this body. Is that correct?
Robin Danner	Yes. Again, We started working with the County in March of 2012 and we really got into it in July and August and started to layout the five areas.
Jose Bulatao	Correct. And, I heard your presentation
Robin Danner	So the County asked us in February or before February
Jose Bulatao	Of 2013?
Robin Danner	Of 2013 to come forward and give the CAC an update.

Jose Bulatao	Correct
Robin Danner	And the options that we were kicking around to do the leverage. To stretch the funding, so we did that.
Jose Bulatao	Yes. I understand that and in the process, when you started working as of June, July, 2012 is that correct? With the uncles?
Robin Danner	Yes. In April and May is when
Jose Bulatao	Of 2012?
Robin Danner	Yes, 2012
Jose Bulatao	And you are aware that the CAC had its last meeting which concluded the contract with the 2 nd Facilitator concluded on May 31 st 2012 and so the CAC was not meeting in June, July, August and did not meet again until September so there was no way of the CAC knowing anything of what was happening with the uncles and the progress going through with the County Departments?
Robin Danner	Yep. Great point. There was no way to update you, you didn't have any meetings.
Jose Bulatao	And there was reference made to some very valid questions that were presented to the CAC. Do you have documentation of how and when those questions emanated from County departments working with the uncles and with you about some of the very excellent and valid questions, when the CAC members may have received those questions?
Robin Danner	Ok. If you go to the solarize Kekaha timeline detail, look at December 7 of 2011, the county of Kauai different departments, the process that you had in place, that the County had in place to give the short and long form applications that everybody submitted to give them to different various departments which is a very good practice and then the County departments compiled their comments, remarks or questions and that document, we were just provided a copy of that document only two weeks ago. It was discussed verbally at your CAC meetings.
Jose Bulatao	No
Robin Danner	Yes, it was discussed verbally.
Jose Bulatao	Which was discussed?
Robin Danner	The County suggestions. If you look at December 7 th , 8 comments and suggestions came back from the county departments about this particular project, I didn't speak to the other project applications and that information was provided directly to the CAC facilitator via email because that was printed out so that's how we know who received the comments and then on March 30 th after the long form was submitted, the County went through the same good process and the County came back with 4 good comments that were provided about this project and then we have...
Jose Bulatao	Thank you Robin. But in the review of our minutes following the presentation of those questions that presumably came to the CAC, I went back and checked the minutes. If ever the CAC discussed specifically those questions that should have been submitted to us and we did finally receive a copy of all of those questions sometime in April or May 2013.
Robin Danner	This year? I don't know, that's not my kuleana.
Jose Bulatao	But I needed to have that come out so I wanted that clarification for all of us to be aware of that there may be some misunderstandings or miscommunications because I have the information of when we received what from whom.
Yvonne Hosaka	Mr. Bulatao I can answer that. On January 9 th , 2012, meeting #27. The CAC was presented was presented with the request for additional information, review of Kekaha HCB Project proposals over \$5000. Those questions were discussed at that meeting. Does that answer your question?
Jose Bulatao	No it does not.
Yvonne Hosaka	What was your question specifically?
Jose Bulatao	My question is this. When were we, the members of the CAC actually presented with a copy of that questions that was presumably discussed because at that time, we were focusing on the short form application with less money being requested from the community and at that time, there was so much to cover, we were focusing on the smaller grant proposals and we were not focusing on any long term or larger.

Robin Danner	Yvonne the evidence that you provided is that to answer his question. On December 7 th , the facilitator it's a printed copy, the facilitator sent the County's questions and comments to the members of the CAC on December 7 th because you provided a hard copy of that email communication and the uncles was not on that email distribution was so that's how I know.
Yvonne Hosaka	Correct. Ok. I'm going to ask that we move on Mr. Bulatao if you don't mind.
Jose Bulatao	That'll be fine.
Yvonne Hosaka	We have one more question.
Bruce Pleas	I'd like to go to page 3. You're up to well, matrix of the original proposal. #5, Residential qualifications. It says must be a Kekaha resident that owns and occupies their home with a priority system based on length of residency in Kekaha. I believe what is missing is, must be a Kekaha resident that owns and occupies it's home at the time of approval. I believe it was our original intent and it's not listed in here. I believe it's an important point and the time of approval needs to be specific. Will that be the time of approval when the Mayor signs the final draft that we send in to him and up to where you are now, that's what I see missing. And nowhere in this document is this mentioned.
Robin Danner	Ok. We can update the application to tighten that. And we would suggest that the time of approval be the time of grant execution.
Bruce Pleas	Just as long as the wording is there and it is specific and we have a time in the document so we know, for instance, I had two homes and with this proposal going forward, I would sell one to my son so that I'd made sure that I'd sell it to my son so he was the owner so he would qualify for this. You understand what I'm saying?
Robin Danner	Ok. Thank you. When we get to the application, I'll walk through that. So on project implementation plan, what I'm really laying out for the CAC is the timeline, the discussion over the 9 months with the County to improve the project, the six different adjustments that were asked to be made; and now based on those adjustments, we had to adjust the implementation plan. So I'm on the project implementation plan with the blue lettering and I'll go through it very quickly. First and foremost was to strengthen the organizational structure of the uncle's project proposal so we adopted Solarize Kekaha by-laws. The initial board of directors are the same proposers of the project with up to three more potential additions that could be added to the board under those by-laws. We created a formal fiscal sponsorship agreement, which is very standard in the grant-making world. If you have a fiscal sponsor; you usually have a formal agreement with them. We reaffirmed the community support in 2013; and we established terms of access and use of Kekaha Enterprise Center to house the files. That was one of the concerns that the County raised. Does the organization have the capacity to have files and fireproof files and security? The second was to expand the projects scope of work. To increase the reach and to maximize to get the biggest bang for the buck. The first thing that was done was to require the replication report as a deliverable. The uncles agreed to have the County include that in the grant agreement once it's submitted. Require the Solarize Kekaha branding in the grant agreement. They agreed to that. That will be taken care of in the grant agreement. They required education. The County basically said, rightfully so, hey add a campaign educational component so that anybody and everybody in Kekaha gets all the options to solarize. They wanted that deliverable in the grant agreement, they agreed. Item d, they wanted the project to expand the options for homeowners to include for example, solar water heater systems which is different from a PV. Very reasonable and many of these issues came out of a departments, those comments. The 8 comments and the 4. It's been a very good process. F was to establish a maximum per household grant level toward solar improvements that are selected by the homeowner rather than government telling them what they should do. And then the final was to complete a Solarize Kekaha application and eligibility determination form prior to grant execution. I say this because it is very important because normally item g would be something that is developed after you execute a grant and then you have the funding to roll out your project and then you spend time and hours to develop the project forms. The County basically said to the uncles, hey we would like to see the Solarize Kekaha Application as the community would see it and how are you going to determine residency? So it was an extra request, but it was prudent I think as a grant administrator myself. The third area was to develop a budget. First we had to reflect a project budget from \$800, 00 to \$780,000 as per the CAC. We did that. We needed to include the required insurance cost that a standard County grant agreement includes general liability, etc. We put that in to the budget and we developed an alternative budget for required administrative costs and staffing in the case that the County Council or the Mayor was unable to fund the administration of this project. The bottom line on that is, you cannot have a \$780,000 project on just volunteers. You need resources for accounting

people, compliance, so that all of the reports go through. So it was a very good back and forth between the uncles and the County to come to what I believe is a very strong proposal. Next step is item 4 is to execute the grant agreement. Which, once the grant agreement is executed, you'll see a through g, the kinds of things that will have to happen. Establish a dedicated account. That was a requirement of the CAC as one of the conditions. Establish an annual schedule of meetings of the Solarize Kekaha Board so that anybody could come. Adopt report templates, procurement policy; a formal procurement policy will have to come before the Solarize Kekaha Board. Coordinate stake-holders sessions with contractors, KIUC and other County Officials. Establish the contracted criteria, coordinate community workshops to roll out the program and then of course begin opening and then accepting applications. So if you go to the next page, what I just walked through was we adjusted the implementation plan to match the improvements required by the County. This in front of you is the budget that had to be updated to match the adjustments of the County. So what you see up above is this is description with staffing with County funds. Not the CAC funds. The required insurance would be about \$5000; office space, about \$900 for the year; \$4100 for printing, office supplies, function space, and communications and \$770, 00 available for solar systems. That's 154 systems. That total, \$780,000. The uncles proposal always included \$22,000 in in-kind. That remains. The second table shows you what the alternative budget would be without staffing from County funds. If the project itself has to carry the weight of staff and administration of Solarize Kekaha. And so, \$5941.00 are all the same. What would have to be adjusted is that the grant funds available to homeowners would drop from \$770,000 to \$702,000 which takes us down to 141 systems and lays out \$6705.00 for project staff, accounting, marketing, that is about the equivalent for one full-time person for the entire year. The bottom table breaks out for you, members of the CAC how that one full-time (fte), the \$6705 would be spent. \$1106 in program design marketing branding; \$3705 in the day to day accepting applications, \$10,800 in fiscal reporting and compliance, very important component and \$7500 in the outcomes and measurements of the project which would be an extensive piece so they've broken out how that money would be spent. So there's your updated budget. The next document lays out if the implementation plan had to be adjusted and the budget was updated, then here is the draft administration plan based on those adjustments. This is how it would flow. Item A, Solarize Kekaha would deliver educational workshops for any resident in Kekaha. That means, in those educational workshops we would review PPA's vs. Leasing vs. purchase. Every attendee would receive a listing of every Renewable Energy financing products available on Kauai and Statewide. They would receive a listing of Solar contractors on the approved KIUC list and we would produce a solar method assessment based on their particular house and energy use. I think that is probably going to be the most educational item for each homeowner to go through. The steps to doing that educational outreach is apply for technical assistance, fill out the application, attend the workshop, complete the solar assessment and then let us know if they installed the system. The second major deliverable of this project is to deliver not just educational assistance but funding assistance to install a solar system on an eligible home. So first it's for owner-occupied homeowners ranked based on length of residency , a set period of time for the applications to open and close so that you can rank, right, the homeowner can install solar water, PPA, lease or purchase and solarize Kekaha pays the last \$5000 in on the cost of that system. So the steps to achieving that funding would be apply for the funding; meet the owner-occupied requirement; receive a length of residency determination by the board; attend a workshop and then complete the solar assessment; receive a ranking and notice of eligibility by Solarize Kekaha; choose a solar system and a contractor; close on the financing or cash payment that you might need in addition to the grant if it's above \$5000 and then Solarize Kekaha remits the last \$5000 to the homeowner and the contractor at a certain period. The last item would be to establish a Solarize Kekaha contractor's preferred list which is the competitive aspect of laying down criteria so if you had new companies that just popped up we would want to rank that brand new company. So what I just showed you is the result of the original proposal which stays the same as its purpose, but was improved in its implementation. Now if you look at section 3 Mr. Chairman, this may be the area for your comment. You'll see in here a draft of Solarize Kekaha assistance application. I'll say again, normally documents like this are not produced until after grant execution but it's such an important piece that the County asked if we would produce it in advance of that so the Mayor could see the flow and the CAC could see the flow. So this is what the basic Solarize Kekaha application would look like. On the checkboxes, they would tell the uncles, hey I'd like help in reviewing what the cost savings might be for my house. One on one TA, technical assistance or #2, I'd like in reviewing the difference between leasing or a PPA; 3 or all 3, I'd like assistance in funding the cost of installing a solar system on my home. And so, about the applicant, about the current energy usage and improvements of the home, there's a section that tells us what their existing usage is, the section asking about relevance of tax credits, we're asking this section because for those folks it might be more advantageous to own the system because they have tax

	liability, we might be able to help them with that assessment on whether or not leasing or owning is better. On the next section we get to the residency issue. Are you a fulltime resident of Kekaha? How many years have you lived there? And they give us a section for them to detail out what those timeframes were and a process for providing evidence and the number one tool is an affidavit by two other State of Hawaii citizens verifying the length of residency. So this is the basic application Mr. Chairman. We would put onto this application your effective date item.
Bruce Pleas	That's good because I believe that it was on your original one and I think it's an important part. Thank you for this. I am really impressed. I appreciate everything that you've done. I just have one question with regards to the KIUC list. I have had no luck with KIUC list for contractors
Robin Danner	No luck as in finding the list or using contractors off of it?
Bruce Pleas	At this point, I am going to ask who is currently on KIUC's contractor list.
Debbie Santiago	Right now, KIUC only has an approved contractor list for solar contractors for our individual rebate and loan programs for the solar water heating. For regular PV systems, it's up to the customer who they want to go to.
Robin Danner	Only for your rebate. I'm sorry, I didn't hear that.
Debbie Santiago	We have some individual energy efficiency programs where we have rebate and loan programs for solar water heating and so if the people want to participate in that then they have to go through the contractor list that we have.
Robin Danner	And that has a criteria right?
Debbie Santiago	Yes.
Robin Danner	So what we're after is the criteria.
Bruce Pleas	Ok. So that means KIUC is going to have to develop a contractor list for this project.
Robin Danner	No. What it means is in our implementation plan, you'll see that once the grant is executed that one of the tasks is to sit down with stakeholder groups. KIUC being one of them to talk through this, solar contractors is another stakeholders group. It's impossible to answer every detail of the project prior to grant execution.
Bruce Pleas	I just wanted to know what direction everything was heading in and that sounds good to me at this point. Thank you.
Jose Bulatao	I have a question that Debbie might be able to answer or at least address. I heard recently there's a solar farm that's in the Koloa area that's being looked into, a 41 million dollar deal possibly, is that correct? And would that and something going on in Anahola and what have you, when you have a project proposal such as ours coming from the community, is there any problem if at all about who is in line, who can be serviced? What delivered capabilities that we may have to compete with, all of these things coming to your table all at once?
David Bissell CEO KIUC	For a small project such as this, there are criteria and it depends how big the systems are that the individual homeowner puts in. For smaller systems, we're offsetting your own use. There is no real issue there. If you build a larger system and have the intention of trying to sell back power to KIUC, there are various programs that do have limits on how much is available for example, we have a program, the new net metering that you can get .20 a kwh for. That has a fixed limit and Debbie has the number but that would not be able to accommodate if this program is fully done. I think there is enough for probably half of that and it fills up on a as needed so there's no guarantee of that. So at the owner-build, there are certainly concerns about being able to sell back to KIUC and at what rate we would pay for so I would encourage to build it to off-set your needs, that's the greatest return on solar but if you want to over build and have the intention to sell to KIUC there are likely to be restrictions.
Yvonne Hosaka	Thank you. Robin, have you completed with the presentation of Section 4?
Robin Danner	Yep. The only other documents, members of the CAC that are in here is a sample for you to see is of what an eligibility and ranking worksheet would look like for the Solarize Kekaha that they would go into a systematic process. I didn't include the draft affidavit document and the only other document in here is a

	sample 3-page fiscal sponsorship agreement which would be required to execute a grant agreement and the last document is the draft facility use agreement where Solarize Kekaha would be housed in the Kekaha Community. It would have a permanent home and permanent office for outreach purposes.
Yvonne Hosaka	Thank you. At this time, did anyone have any questions specific to the presentation.
Thomas Nizo	You guys did an excellent job in filling us in with the information you provided. The only other thing I have a question is I like how the County said to try to get those forms done prior to. When do you folks envision actually going out and getting those forms out and getting that prioritized list? I think for myself and maybe some other CAC members, if the first guy that's going to get that system and number 300 guy can look at that process and say yes that was a good process, the first guy deserves it, and if there is a harmony throughout that list, then you did your job very well. When do you think you will start to put the list together, when is that going to happen, when are we going to see those applications, the actual education like what Mr. Bissell said about sizing their system to meet their needs during the day, trying to sell back power at 150 homes at 20 or 30 panels eventually KIUC won't be able to take it back. I'm just looking for that prioritized list, what sized system and things like that.
Robin Danner	Thank you for that question and I'll let uncle answer that but essentially, the work that's been done has been laid out for you. We would need a fiscal sponsorship agreement executed; a grant agreement executed with the County; and our general liability insurance put in place and then we can start working on distributing the applications, getting the office set up and doing that but I'll let uncle...
Thomas Nizo	Is the fiscal sponsor still E Ola Mau?
Robin Danner	Yes.
Wayne "Buddy" Ayudan	So after we finish the workshop tonight and all the agreements get signed because all the people are here tonight, we going start the first applicants from some of the people that are here tonight. And you know, I think we can go from there. But in reality, what happened is, all of the information that has been given out tonight and is going to get given out, it all has been comprised oh maybe about 6-7 months ago and like what our coordinator stipulated, normally those sort of forms and information only start going out as soon as everything is accepted and everything is approved and we been sitting tight for the last 7 months so as far as the information that we handing out tonight, some of it is coming out ahead of time because normally none of it comes out until the process starts moving forward so with that in mind I think Dennis has a few new things that he wants to let you guys know ahead of time and as far as the count of how many residents we can move forward with, it has increased.
Thomas Nizo	Do you see the project in increments? Like to balance it, do the first 10 and funds are released in tiers to see how it goes for just those 10 projects. We can see if need be any improvements that may be needed to the process. And interest can be accumulated.
Buddy Ayudan	I think Dennis can answer that because the money will not go into anyone's hands except for the County. It'll be just a release of funds to residents so there's no banking from our side.
Robin Danner	There will be a reserve account so that Solarize Kekaha authorize and distributes the funds. To answer your question about phasing. Potentially yes. It could go either way and that would happen when we sit down with the County if we get to grant execution. You could do this all one time. Most of the solarized programs on the continent are done in phases so they'll do a round 1, open and closes. You have 3 months to get your application in and then that is implemented and then round 2 is opened and closed so that is potentially a way that it could happen. But not determined today.
Jose Bulatao	I want to be sure that we totally understand this. You're sticking to the ways by which can actualize a PV system for those to qualify. We're not considering anything else with this proposal? No solar water heaters, just a PV system?
Robin Danner	No in the proposal it is solar water, PV, PV Leased, Pre-paid
Jose Bulatao	Ok. I want to make sure because it sounded like there are a little bit more possibilities and options that may be more attractive to the clientele, but it gives people, people here in Kekaha those options that include those kind of choices that they make. I just want to be sure on that is that correct?
Robin Danner	Yes
Jose Bulatao	Ok. Because prior to this there was a very specific focus in trying to maintain a PV system for community residents but now you extended this and you made that clear and I'm really appreciative of that. In the

	proposal, I saw some material that there may be a possibility that County assistance might be possible if a roof top for instance may need to be firmed up because of the weight of the system if they choose that and the question, who would pay for that? Will that be part of the proposal for each customer if that may be so?
Robin Danner	No. Any home improvement, any physical improvements required to the home in order to have a PV is the responsibility of the homeowner at least only for the PV system.
Jose Bulatao	Ok. Thank you for the clarification
Robin Danner	Also, your comments about the options. That has been the benefit of the last 6 or 7 months of working with the Mayor and his staff on not trying to halt the project, but trying to improve it and make sure that we're bringing forward the best product and I think you have in front of you the best product, the best proposal as a result of frankly, all of you in this room have contributed to where this project is now.
Thomas Nizo	I have a question. As far as Solarize Kekaha, the grant is paying the first \$5000 and then if the project or the system is above the \$5000, who pays the difference, the homeowner?
Robin Danner	Solarize Kekaha pays the last \$5000. So let's say, I want to have fancy PV system and I want to spend \$7000 on my PV or \$12,000 on my PV. Through the process and we built in the application process that the homeowner, if they want a \$7000 system then they would have to go get or show that they're going to pay cash or finance the other \$2000. That \$2000 would have to go in first to the project or to the solar system and then Solarize Kekaha's funds will go in last. So that you are assured that you have a system and the homeowner is committed.
Jose Bulatao	And there was also the concern that one of the uncles brought up at the County Council meeting. One of the most challenging things for the homeowner might be the insurance cost. That they themselves would have to pick up. So that is part of making a commitment or making a request for a PV system but they need to be responsible for that. It wouldn't come out of these funds correct?
Robin Danner	Yes.
Bruce Pleas	Ok, I have a PV system and I contacted my insurance company and they just laughed. They just said, well, yea, it's up there, it's part of your house. We were under the impression that we had to do a separate insurance and notify them and he said, you have the receipts, it's there.
Robin Danner	That is correct. It is kind of like making an improvement. You put a garage door on your garage, it's part of your homeowner's hazard insurance. Mr. B, we will include on the insurance issue, we will include a question and have a process built in to ask the question with an insurance agent.
Dennis Eguchi	Thomas to answer your question about the size on the PV system. The \$5000 will cover a 2.5 kw system per household. Do you see a problem with that?
David Bissell CEO KIUC	Ultimately that's a relatively small system but the same issues on sale back and there are programs even if you don't qualify for the new "inaudible" there is still schedule q where you can sell to KIUC but that amount and continuation, that's not guaranteed in the long term so they're relatively small systems so you're going to have less risk than the average house 2kw most of the time.
Thomas Nizo	How many panels is that averaging.
Debbie Santiago	10 panels. One note too. On these individual residential PV systems, normally when we review the project, we would review the project as each individual home as a separate project. There could be other criteria that fall into place as far as who or how many systems are on your street. So for example, if there are a lot of systems on your street, it could require a system upgrade on our KIUC side and that would be an additional cost to the customer who is requesting the upgrade at that point and time.
Thomas Nizo	So at that point in time, if that street like Hoomau Street, if the first person on Hoomau street gets a system or several of them get a system and there needs to be an upgrade to the transformer, just depends on what kind of transformer it is above, underground or whatever. Who's going to take the burden to upgrade the KIUC system? Usually it's the person who puts in that system and needs the upgrade pays for that upgrade. So is that part of your budget criteria or does the homeowner? If he's getting a \$7000 and it takes \$5000 to upgrade that transformer that particular homeowner when he goes into KIUC to make that application, now it's \$12,000 that he needs to get funded for.
Robin Danner	Bottom line is Solarize Kekaha pays for the last \$5000 anything above that the source of funding and what is needed to be paid for...

Thomas Nizo	So the reason I am asking for that prioritized list is just to make sure for example and conversation purposes only, if Mr. Bulatao lived on that street and he was one of the oldest guy in Kekaha right now and he is warranted on one of those systems and he has to make an upgrade maybe if he had it at \$7000 maybe he could afford it, the \$2000 and get the whole system, but now he has to make an upgrade that puts him out of the race.
Robin Danner	And you're talking about an upgrade, a KIUC upgrade.
Thomas Nizo	Well the transformer at KIUC. Currently it's set because everybody's taking it off the grid. But because of the enhancement, the customer comes in and applies for the application that changes. It wasn't KIUC's demand change; it was the customer's demand change.
Robin Danner	And similarly if the house roof top does not support it, it's the homeowner's responsibility to do that. If KIUC requires an upgrade, that's a transaction between the homeowner and KIUC. Of course I would suggest that you elect a KIUC board that would pay for the transformer right David?
Evelyn Olores	I just want to make myself clear as to what Thomas is proposing. It's the same thing, if I'm going to put up my PV system and I'm only allowed X amount of dollars and 10 other people in my same row, can KIUC deliver and if not, how much more do I need to pay and all of these things are questionable with this proposal. That we're going to spend more than the \$5000 we're allotted to or it means that we're going to have a whole roll of residents that want to put up a PV system right in the area there, how much more are we going to have to pay or how much longer are we going to have to wait for anybody to deliver our PV system.
Buddy Ayudan	In answering that question. Let me clarify something that we neva even reach yet. Well we still gotta answer his question and part of it is Evelyn's question. I'm KIUC. I have to deal with everybody on Kauai. Everybody on Kauai is partnership with me. Kekaha all of a sudden comes up with this great idea and we want to do an energy thing. Now the question comes, can we accommodate all of them? Well 50% we know we can no problem. Out of the other 50%, there might be every 10 residents right down the string of my transformers that I know I might be accommodating a maybe only a few of them but yet, if you look at the other side of the coin, 10 of us all come in we gotta get that transformer changed anyway even if we only change 5 of them cause the thing been there long time already and it's been a problem for the utility workers for a long time they been band-aiding this just keeping it going but this is a good idea, a good time. We gonna have them all re-done and I going accommodate 10 people so the cost might cost them maybe \$300 - \$400 a piece so here we go you know what, why should I charge them \$300, let's do the right thing. We change the transformer on that line. We no more one problem. It can be easily accommodated and we gotta do um anyway, we gotta widen our roads, we gotta move that transformer sooner or later so you know that's one different scenario. Now we might not even have those 10 people. There might be only 2 that wanna put something. They might want to put a solar water heater. We don't know, nobody knows but at the point we are, we need to figure out and I think it's part of our job that's why I just giving you one scenario you gave us one good one too, Evelyn's one was good too. And I know KIUC would be real happy eh, we gotta change that, the service man been out there 15 times already. Every time we tell um, we going change um, they switch over to the next one.
Bruce Pleas	Ok. What I'm looking at is the questions brought up that if we overload the system and have to replace transformers. Now a solution I see is, KIUC and Solarize Kekaha need to have communication going between them so that KIUC has a list to track where the highest usage is going to be so that ahead of time, the cost is spread out among all the users in that area or as Buddy brought up, another way. We need to have communication. We've identified a problem that could cost the people of Kekaha some money. We're looking for a solution that's why we're here at this workshop. So the solution as I see it is good communication and, as soon as the list is available, they're coming to you, you're talking to KIUC, you're looking at your lines, you're figuring out what needs to be done, so that we can spread the cost across the board or absorb it so we get as much in as possible. That's what I had to throw in there. And I think it's just communication. Thank you.
David Bissell CEO KIUC	Yes. I would agree totally. Communication is essential on this it can be a very unpleasant surprise, the cost of a transformer upgrade and unfortunately, you could have a neighbor, the last guy got, we go by the first inter-connector application in so, Mr. B gets his in, he fills a big system, we see it as one end, you come in with the next one and it's a small one that put's it over the edge, it could be \$5000 on the next one up and it's a PUC pair up not KIUC. So we could try to work with you on that. We haven't had a situation where there's a group coming in and how we would do that, we could try to work on it. Debbie do you have more on that process?

Debbie Santiago	It's possible.
David Bissell CEO KIUC	It could be a very unpleasant surprise on PV cost and I'd encourage, figure out who's going to do it, work with our engineers, and get the applications in with a holistic outlook on it. That would help our engineers be able to size right and communicate and try to work through things. If they come in one off, it's going to be a real problem.
Thomas Nizo	Right. That's why I'm saying that list, that prioritized list will be very important to communicate with you folks. You guys are the key to a lot of these things.
Jose Bulatao	I'm also seeing that because the realm of possibilities in what the original purpose and intent was in considering a PV system. No matter what it may have been, the whole idea was to seek something that would help lower the energy bills of our community residents and I think the gentlemen from Kekaha did an awesome job of trying to seek out the information pertinent to that original intent but now I hear that through their efforts they find that there are other alternative possibilities for us to meet that goal of lowering the energy cost of our residents and I think we need to hold on to that basic concept because that's what we wanted to do from the very beginning and if that becomes an option that might be more suitable for the individual people to consider, this might be the best bet for me and now that they say that this may be a possible option, I think that's what we should consider. That there is a range for us, for the community residents to say, this one may not be for me, but that one may be. And they said they've opened the doors to that possibility, that's what I think we should be looking at.
Robin Danner	Thank you
Yvonne Hosaka	Ok. We skipped over one of our agenda items on our agenda regarding PPA.
Robin Danner	We didn't skip over it, he's next.
Yvonne Hosaka	Well we are getting into questions with KIUC which was after the PPA item. Jeremy is here from Sun Run who will provide an explanation of what PPA is.
Jeremy (Sun Run)	Simply put. There are realistically now two options for homeowners in regards for photovoltaic and even solar hot water in some areas of the country. Full purchase has been the method for the last 50 years for a homeowner to make when it comes to a photovoltaic system. What is available in the last 6 years since the new tax incentives and processes that have been put in place by the Bush Administration and pushed further more by the Obama Administration are the options of leasing a system or a Power Purchase Agreement. Realistically, leasing and a PPA is almost the same, however in the area or the County or State, there are differences based on the two. A lease is typically a monthly payment program where a homeowner would not put any money into an ownership or a purchase of a system. They would just basically be making a monthly payment instead of to the utility, but a monthly payment to a solar ownership program or parent company in this case, Sun Run or another company that would own the system, they would be responsible for the maintenance and the care and the upkeep of the system and they would guarantee the output of the system and the homeowner would simply be making a monthly payment for the power it produced. Due to the constraints and the differences of the buy-back program here on Kauai specifically with KIUC the monthly payment programs do not pencil out. So a company such as Sun Run would not allow for a monthly payment program with that typical lease. What they offer would be a PPA where in this case, the homeowner would make a one-time payment for the guaranteed production or output of what this solar equipment could actually produce. So in an power purchase if you look at what a 20 year production could be for equipment, statistical, it's factual, equipment could actually produce based on location on the earth, location on the island, location on root direction, all those components put together and all based and backed by National research and environmental laboratories and the parent company with the PPA would determine this system, whatever the sizing would be, could produce said amount of power over the next duration of 20 years hypothetically. So they would set up an agreement, with the end user that the system could produce a certain amount of power, the owner and in this instance, the CAC funding would be making a purchase of all the power that system could generate over a period of 20 years. So the difference of a purchase of a ownership of a system, you own the equipment and the responsibility of all the repairs, monitoring maintenance, insurance if your insurance if your insurance carrier does not cover your system because not all do. With a purchase, there is a larger upfront cost, and if the owner can take incentives or tax incentives, that is up to the individual owner. When it comes to government funds, the federal side and state side do not allow for tax incentives to be

	taken on government funded projects where the money is gifted or funded. So a power purchase where the money is processed through a third party, the third party can actually take that. The end cost such as a \$10,000 system at the end cost is \$5000 after the value of tax incentives well the power purchase agreement would be valued at \$5000 for the size system that \$10,000 could actually buy. So in this case, Sun Run as an example, they would be paying for the entire system, the full total cost, the end cost after the value of the tax incentive will be the only amount a homeowner would be responsible for paying. And with a power purchase agreement, the responsibility is that the parent owner in this case, Sun Run which is the largest provider of solar in the Nation right now, they are responsible for the equipment, they are responsible for the monitoring maintenance, they are responsible for all the insurance, they are responsible for cleaning, repairs, for the entire system even if there is penetration through the roof over the duration of the agreement. That's the short side.
Yvonne Hosaka	Thank you Jeremy. Any questions?
Glenn Molander	I'm not clear. Would all these systems that we're talking about. Do they include storage or not?
Jeremy (Sun Run)	In this instance, with what was being provided, this does not include any battery storage. Any type of storage for the homeowner unless they chose to pay for that themselves. If they chose to above and beyond what the allotted amount would be and decided to purchase some sort of storage unit or storage systems they could do that on their own.
Glenn Molander	So we're just talking about power basically during the day and we're on our own at other times.
Jeremy (Sun Run)	Correct, any power that the solar equipment does not generate would be bought from KIUC.
Yvonne Hosaka	Any other questions?
Jose Bulatao	Ok. To be very clear because I hear different stories. If we choose to go through a PV system in Kekaha, one of the things we who live here in Kekaha know is that we are so blessed with an abundance of sunshine and that was the attractive component to consider PV systems here in Kekaha. Then I heard someone say if a cloud comes over the sun the PV system drops dramatically and I have to rely on the fossil fuel system. Now, I don't care what anyone says, but when it rains it pours here and we have had occasions when there was a 40 day, 40 night drizzle that brought a flooding situation to our community. It may not happen every year, but those things happen. So if we are not going to have, if the PV system that you're talking about doesn't have the battery thing, what's going to happen? Which is which?
Jeremy (Sun Run)	May I respond?
Yvonne Hosaka	Sure
Jeremy (Sun Run)	And I'm only here for information base and no particular push. In response to that, looks like with the presentation, the choices for the homeowner of a set size, solar hot water as a choice, PV system with a choice of a Power Purchase Agreement, Lease or Purchase. Above and beyond that, if the homeowner decides that they want a larger system and have a battery backup source, have some other storage capacity, that's the individual homeowner to choose for that they can pay the additional amount that might be required but with this, it looks like it's only for a specific sizing system to cover a basic amount of power.
Jose Bulatao	How does KIUC respond to all these variables?
David Bissell CEO KIUC	I think the intermittent nature of PV coming over is real and we're talking about a relatively small amount of solar here and there are issues on this and I just want to commit, KIUC will work with you guys to try to work through these issues if this project's going forward, we're not out here trying to change out systems to stick it to the people of Kekaha. We'll work with you the best we can to try to manage the cost so that it can be done and upfront with the resources. I don't want to be sour on this. We're here to help; we're here to work with you.
Ben Sullivan	Thank you. Ben Sullivan, County Energy Coordinator. This is a very constructive conversation and I appreciate being involved in it and first of all I want to say to the four uncles and to Robin, tremendous amount of work over the last year and once again a really clear presentation on a lot of complex

	<p>information so thank you. I also want to thank KIUC for showing up so thank you. We've expressed concerns and discussed with both the CAC and with the uncles system integration concerns and issues that might arise. Really quickly, I just want to say, in my view there is going to be additional issues that surface and the only way that this project is going to be successful is if everyone accepts that and if there's enough trust as we move forward I think as David was saying, and just do our best and when we hit bumps in the road, we work them out. A couple of quick recommendations. One is on this issue of potential system upgrade, certainly, I think Bruce's comment about looking at can we plan ahead is valid but on the other hand it might make sense to add a small contingency and not guarantee everyone in the whole process PV system upfront. Because if you hit that, I think a lot of the CAC members expressed concern do you tell someone no you can't have a system even though you qualified just because of where you live. That's a tough call and you're going to have to make that, or the program is going to have to make that but there's going to be bumps in the road. That was one thing. I did have a question about PPA as to whether you can prepay. I'm not familiar with the requirements related to the IRS and prepayment cause my understanding is on a lease it has to actually function as a lease and you're not allowed to give a lump sum up front but maybe you know better.</p>
Jeremy (Sun Run)	<p>Good question. That is an upfront payment. It's a one-time lump sum. There are two choices with most leasing companies or companies in this nature. Sun Run of course the option is a monthly payment option or a pre-payment of one-time, one amount and that's it.</p>
Robin Danner	<p>Inaudible</p>
Jeremy (Sun Run)	<p>Regardless, here on Kauai, the monthly options are not available. Yes. Based on the mathematics but yes, based on production amounts on the system. One month the weather could be sunny and beautiful for 30 days and you pay for however the system produces and the next month it could be March 2006 and it's raining every single day and so you would have a much lower cost. But yes you can have a pre-payment and a one-time payment only of the power generated.</p>
Ben Sullivan	<p>Thank you.</p>
Bruce Pleas	<p>I'd like to thank KIUC for their commitment on the record to work with the community. That's what I was looking for is to have the top people here and commit to what's going on. As for when it rains and everything, I have my PV system and the other morning when we were getting ready to go surf it was quite enough to see but not really that bright and my systems clicking on at 4 watts and the sun wasn't even out and when the cloud comes, we're all in the same boat and I really have to thank the gentlemen, I can't really call them uncles because we're all the same age. That they made every single option available to the residents of Kekaha. Solar hot water, leasing, everything there and I'm sure any new type of procedure or a new process comes up to help get units up on the roof that they will include that in the selection. Thank you and thank you to KIUC.</p>
David Bissell CEO KIUC	<p>You know one thing that we haven't talked about is the solar water heater is KIUC's rebate program and Robin I don't know how you feel about it but we have a \$1000 rebate program that I'd certainly think you'd think about trying to qualify for and we're under goals of trying to get a lot water heaters out there and if the community wants and has opted to do that I'd be willing to try to take it to the board for a limited time to have a bigger rebate to help support the program so that's something else we could discuss.</p>
Robin Danner	<p>The program, the way we have it designed, the educational workshops will lay out like KIUC's rebate program and other grant sources so I think we're really going to get much bigger leverage than the 780,000 that the Mayor has committed just like David just mentioned. We've designed the program to maximize every dollar wherever it comes from to bring it to Kekaha.</p>
Yvonne Hosaka	<p>Thank you. Were there any other questions for KIUC. Ok next on our agenda were any questions for our County Representatives.</p>
Bruce Pleas	<p>As I see the project appears to be going in phases. It looks like we're going to have a list and KIUC will have some say as to whether we need upgrades. Now my question for the County is, we'll have groups of how big, 20, 25. About 25. Will the County be able to medium track, not fast track, medium track this group to keep them together and run them through the process and have the departments ready for the 25 group that comes in, process them and be ready for the next group and that would be engineering and I believe County Planning.</p>
Ron (County Building)	<p>Hi I'm Ron from the Building Division. We can fast track those projects. We'd like to see about 10 at a time though so we don't overwhelm the system because we got quite a few permits coming in right now,</p>

Division)	but yes.
Bruce Pleas	Hearing that you're looking at 10, they're looking at 25. We need communication between you two and so that you know what they have coming in ahead of time so we can keep it moving.
Ron	We've had quite an upturn on permits which is good.
Bruce Pleas	Yes. I've read in the paper and at times it was 50 to 60% for PV systems permits I just want to make sure that because it's a project that's going to go over in time and have X amount of people what I wanted to hear and I heard you're looking at 10, they're looking at 25 so you need to discuss it. Make sure everyone's on the same page and we roll forward.
Thomas Nizo	What I think Bruce is trying to saying is we just want to take advantage of the economies of scale rather in trying to make the upgrades happen, trying to get the leverage in the systems and trying to get it through the County's process as much as we can.
Myra Elliott	I have a question on this budget. Will the County pay for the project staff, accounting, coordinating and marketing? Staffing with County funds or without. How will a coordinator be paid? I don't understand this.
Robin Danner	So there are two budgets there for you to review. If the County funds, administers the \$67,500, then the number of systems for Kekaha goes up.
Myra Elliott	So, is the County going to fund that?
Mayor Carvalho	So let me clarify that? Great job everybody. Thank you KIUC, David for stepping up and doing what they can do and I also want to recognize E Ola Mau because they are still the Fiscal sponsor of this project and will continue to be and of course the uncles. So, they came to visit me one day with good intentions and wanted to really see this project move forward and so the question was posed to me, they wanted to really see the entire amount, the 780,000 go back into the community and so the question was posed if we the County was willing to cove this facilitator position and so in discussion, I did say that I would include this particular portion because I heard the uncles speak and that we would include that in our budget to cover that cost. However, the uncles knew that they had to go back to the CAC to let them know and get the final approval and follow up because the CAC as I said earlier, is the one who is taking the lead but the uncles being very creative and diligent and I heard their passion in their story so we're working close with our county council and so we're at that point right now but I did put it in our budget proposal to cover that cost because I really want to see that project move forward and I'm sure everyone in this room tonight wants it to move forward. So, still committed to working closely with our County Council and moving forward in covering that particular cost.
Beth Tokioka	Just to clarify it. It is not in our budget as it stands today. It's still an open question as to whether or not the money for the facilitator would come from the project funds. If that was the case, it could move forward now potentially. If not then we'll be going back to the County Council and ask for that money again and hopefully we can provide enough justification for it. But just so it's clear, if the money is not coming from that 780,000 then we still have that hurdle to cross before the project can really move forward.
Myra Elliott	So in my mind, you're trying to use this as a skeleton for the rest of the island. That would be someone that has experience now, so.
Ernest Barreira	Good evening everyone, if I may. Ernie Barreira, Budget and Purchasing Director. During the course of the Budget execution, during the supplemental budget discussion and the passes by the County Council, we had proposed a tipping fee increase as part of our revenue enhancement package. That tipping fee increase failed. The County Council did maintain the increase calculation on the tipping fee for the percentage of monies that will come to the Kekaha Host Community Benefits even though they did not pass the tipping fee increase. So there is \$41,000 in the budget as Chair Jay Furfaro pointed out at the last meeting in that budget which puts us \$19,000 short but there is some seed money there that the Chair had articulated could be available to support the facilitator with additional monies having to be approached via the necessary money bill so there is some seed money in there that was extra and above and beyond what existed before the supplemental budget passed this past year.
Beth Tokioka	Just for a little clarification. That money right now is in the HCB fund which technically, we would need direction from the CAC to spend that. So, the Mayor has committed that he would not spend those HCB funds without concurrence from the CAC. So if you concur that we could spend that extra \$41,000 that

	would be fine otherwise I think the idea would be that we would send a money bill over to the Council, that money would be moved out of the HCB Fund and into a separate account along with an additional \$19,000 with which we could engage a facilitator for the work.
Jose Bulatao	I would like to respond to that especially for our community residents who took the time to come and hear what we are struggling with and what we hope that we can clarify so that if we do move forward, it's because we have all the information that's available to us. Now you need to know what happened historically because none of this has been mentioned. For those of us who took on the responsibility in accepting a position on the CAC, a lot of people don't realize this, that when Mayor Brian Baptiste was alive and this whole thing came up at the Waimea Neighborhood Center about having a host community fund available for Kekaha because we are the community in closest proximity to the landfill. I told Mel Rapozo who was sitting across from me, I said, Oh, if that's the case, we can receive some money and Mel said, We didn't know this Brian, I'll talk to the Council to see what they can do. I said, wonderful, I'll come and pick up the check on Monday and everybody laughed. But things did move along, and finally, I think it was Brian at that time made the proposal to start with \$100, 00 for the HCB funding. The Council at that time said, no that's not enough, let's try one million dollars and they bickered and finally agreed for \$650,000. Along the way, even Patrick Pereira, he and I attended a Council meeting in Nawiliwili. He and I asked for a million dollars a year but it never, never came about right Patrick. Now, moving forward, we get all of this information and we find out Mr. Barreira that the tipping fee was \$50 a ton charged to commercial entities, not residents, from which we were getting a dollar a ton. And after the \$650,000 we got \$80,000 for three years in a row and again some of us went to see Bernard and the Council to say, we need more than that. And from \$50 to \$90 a ton and we still receiving a dollar a ton. Finally we got an increase. \$140,000 there about. Then it dropped again. And I realize that we had economic strains to deal with. That was part of the reality. Now, recently, although the tipping fee didn't go up yet, the Council was kind enough to give us that \$41,000 ok. We were still receiving a little more than a dollar a ton with an increased tipping fees from 50 to 90, now, is this what we want, I don't think so. Now we don't know how the decision is going to be made on our behalf we here in this community, we here on the CAC having all of this additional information and we're all stuck with how to handle the funds the best way we know how. I don't know if you realize it or not but much of the information comes to us after the fact to us sometimes and that was because lull in meeting and it's because the uncles were meeting with the County members and because they asked wonderful valid questions but Beth will realize because I got that email sometime in April or May when we had one of our meetings asking or pertinent information, we got those questions from Diane Zachary through Beth Tokioka, after the fact.
Yvonne Hosaka	Mr. B. Do you have any specific questions for the County?
Jose Bulatao	My specific question is this. Would you give us the time to pursue this further that if we do move forward, it should be with having all of the information pertinent to moving a project that has now again evolved one more time to include so many other wonderful options. We do not want to be pressured into it. I say this because when it comes to that decision, I cannot vote. I am recused from voting because I am an advisor for E Ola Mau. So I cannot vote, Big Boy isn't here, Robert Jackson isn't here. You have five people that are going to make that decision here.
Yvonne Hosaka	We won't be making any decisions on this tonight.
Jose Bulatao	After, aren't we having another meeting where this is going to come up?
Yvonne Hosaka	No. Not tonight.
Jose Bulatao	Ok. I am fine with that.
Mayor Carvalho	Ok. So I just want to clarify again. The 780,000 is still in your hands and you can decide to fund the position too if you want to. That's all I'm saying. But I go back with my discussion with Mr. Pereira folks and their request, and so, yes the money is not in the budget right now, I'm saying here, if we have to go back to Council, whatever we need to do for this go around, because why? I want this to work. If this is not the project, then this is not the project but what I'm seeing and what I'm hearing with all the work that has been done, you're the CAC, you're the ones that's going to make the decision and that's why we are all here tonight with all the information to share with you and the people and that's the clarification I wanted to make clear on that particular question that you had. I know there was much dialogue that happened in the past and I don't want to get into all of that because we're moving forward and I wanted to make that

	clear from my standpoint.
Glenn Molander	My comment is not a question; it's just a comment. I really feel comfortable this evening having this meeting, there were a lot of questions and there still are a lot of questions obviously. I appreciate your comment that there will be bumps on the road and I've been on projects before and I know you just can't cover everything and as long as we have strong organizations that are watching over this project, I think that it's really looking good to me and I really appreciate the County and KIUC and everybody else for showing up and as I said, I feel very comfortable and there are questions that I have and I bet I'm not alone but I think they're all going to be answered.
Thomas Nizo	As far as the project coordinator, what is the process that you will go through in hiring or screening that person? How are you guys going to hire that person? Is it the board's decision?
Robin Danner	Yes. The Solarize Kekaha Board will have that decision. But I call your attention again that this isn't one person. When you look at the alternative budget that we laid out for you. This is about as conservative as you can go for this size of a project. The one FTE I broke down for you the types of functions that have to be performed with this one FTE or this 67,500. So it will be different individuals. Our non-profit. Our Board of Directors has already committed that my time is pro-bono to Solarize Kekaha. My Board Chair and our Board has already dedicated. You've already leveraged that. So this \$67,500 will be paid for different individuals to perform the functions dedicated and that decision will be made by Solarize Kekaha Board as it should be.
Evelyn Olores	So what happens to E Ola Mau in this particular instance? Are they still
Robin Danner	E Ola Mau is still the Fiscal Sponsor. There's a difference between a fiscal sponsor and a project owner and a project implementer. So that's why in your packet, there is a standard fiscal sponsor agreement which lays out typically what an E Ola Mau type organization has to do and what the sponsored organizations obligations are. Fiscal sponsor means you're putting your 501c3 on the line and that the funding will be distributed and accounted for accordingly. So if you look at the fiscal sponsor agreement, for example, in the agreement E Ola Mau is the fiscal sponsor and they agree to receive the grant contributions and gifts, they agree to acknowledge charitable donations on behalf of the project; they agree to provide the tax exempt status that would be required by the grantor, the sponsor authorizes the project to make expenditures that do not exceed the total contributions so it's pretty standard. Fiscal sponsors are a common thing. Solarize Kekaha commits to the fiscal sponsor that they will act within financial policies outlined in procedures that they will, the sponsor will maintain books and financial records of the project and so will the entity being sponsored. The project will be governed by the project owner and answer to issues reported by the sponsor. So it's pretty typical arrangement. So E Ola Mau is absolutely the fiscal sponsor utilizing its 501c3 status.
	So the question I have is why isn't E Ola Mau on the Table with you guys. Anybody from E Ola Mau wants to take the microphone. Do you have any concerns being the fiscal sponsor? Just my question.
Mary Jean Buza Sims, President of E Ola Mau	At this point, No, we don't have any concerns. Prior to this meeting we had a meeting with Solarize Kekaha. There were some concerns as far as the determination of who gets the solar to make sure it doesn't jeopardize our 501 c3 status because we could not be the ones to choose who would get the solar systems and the way it is set up now, E Ola Mau will not be making the decision. As I read this, it's nothing really to be concerned of. It's pretty generic. There was just one question where it said, E Ola Mau could receive funds from grants to help the project out. Whether or not E Ola Mau would assume the taxes for the amounts that come in, I don't know up to what point, I would have to check with our CPA on that so that would mean, the project then if there is a great amount, and we have to pay taxes as an organization, we would have to charge the project for that amount as an administrative fee and we would have to talk about with the project to make sure there was an understanding with that issue.
Thomas Nizo	I just wanted to make sure you didn't have any issues. You are a part of that project so I just wanted to make sure.

Mary Jean Buza Sims	We and Lola Cruz, our treasurer, have been meeting and once we work out everything and we need a system between E Ola Mau and the Project in terms of an accounting system to help the project out with regards to funds that come in from grants and other sources so that's some of the details that we have to work out.
Bruce Pleas	Ok and any administrative costs will show up on the budget forms? And also any outside monies that come in, you plan on showing where that came in too so we have that information at the end of the year.
Mary Jean Buza Sims	I did want to say something though. If not now, when? Ok, we've gone through this thing for quite a while. Same thing with the CAC. It took a while for the CAC from birth to work its way through the kinks as the gentlemen say, give it a chance, we work through the kinks as we go along. Because it's a new journey here for us in Kekaha so I would hate to see anymore stalling of the project and I recommend as the fiscal sponsor to move forward and make the decision if not now, when?
Evelyn Olores	I have a question for the County people. I was the one that made the recommendation to move this project forward thanks to the uncles for all your hard work. After the whole year has gone by from the original project, we came up with the five different projects that you could choose from and we still looked at that and wasn't satisfied and tonight there is a new one again. Now going to the County Council meeting and finding out that there was a \$60,000 amount being asked for the coordinator and this is what confuses me, what project are we talking about? Because I made the original motion to accept the original project and it's on my shoulder to look at how we are really going to spend the \$780,000. And there is still is a lot of things that I found out like the county wants to use this as a template for the rest of the island community and if that is true, I want to know what the County has done so far or if they have any projects compared to this one that the County can go out to make their projects work.
Ben Sullivan	Thank you for that question. I really appreciate the opportunity to try to clarify what has transpired because I think there's been a lot of confusion. The biggest point that the County brought forward when we saw the original proposal, everyone recognized that the intention was great and there was real passion in the community and the four uncles wanted to do the right thing. What we saw was a lack for facilitation of the project and that was our biggest primary concern and they very much delivered on that concern and that's what you've seen here tonight. So the conversation about the additional \$60,000 came into play when the Mayor was asked, can you give us additional funds so we can move this project forward? The difficult position that put us in was this money has been allocated by Mayor and Council and additional funds when we discussed it we thought, there's got to be some way where we could spread additional benefits to additional people because this is outside of the funds that were allocated to Kekaha so that was a way to bridge that. And I think as Mayor has said tonight and to really re-emphasize, the CAC can move forward as it is if they chose to absorb the administrative cost with the 780,000 or if they want to wait to see what the Council decision is on the Mayor's request for additional funds then that's their prerogative as well. That really explains as far as I'm concerned what that was about.
Evelyn Olores	You really didn't answer my question. My question is what is the County doing besides this project. Your own project as far as PV systems are concerned for the island of Kauai.
Ben Sullivan	As far as PV Systems?
Jose Bulatao	I think what Evelyn is asking is, we are so fortunate to have public funding awarded to this community to use as leverage to provide PV systems or other options as it may be to lower our electric bills. But, because that fantastic financial option if this template is going to go to other communities, what are they going to use for leverage because this template is based on what the County has so generously given us.
Ben Sullivan	Ok. I understand the question now. Evelyn does that represent your question? The intent of the template was simply to be able to get the information about what the options are out to people. The thing that I think is sometimes missed in this conversation is that you don't actually need upfront capital to do PV systems. You can do PV systems at a net savings today without any capital down and that's what PPA is all about. So in fact, you guys have an additional benefit of these additional funds but that's not to stop another community of looking at that. And certainly they have to take into consideration all the issues that relate and it's a complex subject. We have a co-op that's already purchasing solar for us so how much more do we want to buy is a question that the community needs to ask and there are other questions as well is like what's your return going to be on a long term. Those are risks when you decide to put a solar system on your roof. I hope that answers your question.

Mary Jean Buza Sims	I'd like to say something too about having a project manager. St. Theresa is having a project solarizing our school and as small as our project is, you still need a project manager. Luckily we have a parent who can do that. For this huge amount of money and systems that will be awarded in Kekaha, you do need some point person to make sure all the details are covered so I think we need to look at funding a project manager and decide how we are going to secure one so the project can be done the right way and on time so you do need a project manager.
Buddy Ayudan	Can I ask a question? Nalani you deal directly with what we're talking about right now. In some of the projects you run, the coordinator, the managers, typically for the size of the project we running and the magnitude of the items we working with, the size of the coordinators funding what percentage is that if you had to go out and find somebody to fund it. Roughly off the top of your head.
Nalani Brun	Most of our grants and mine are mostly tourism grants but typically its 15 to 20 % for a grant fee and they use it for admin and on top of that most of the people that we give grants to will go out on top of that to get more money because it costs much more than that because it doesn't include bookkeeping and rentals, facility and beyond that and even the smallest grants have someone managing them and its very helpful especially if you're looking for other grant monies because you need that person to tie things together. Any group person that's giving money wants to talk to one person. They don't want to keep multiplying around, you need one person that can answer all of the questions and that's your manager of your project.
Thomas Nizo	So just my point with Mary Jean and her admin cost. Will you be re-doing your budget to add that admin cost in there?
Robin Danner	What she's talking about and what E Ola Mau is talking about are two different things.
Thomas Nizo	I hear you.
Robin Danner	She's talking about 10 to 15% which would be about 70,000 to 140,000. That is typically, covers everything. Project managers, office space, overhead. And if fully funded, typically the fiscal sponsor would get a fee if they are providing a portion of those services. In this case, that's not been the case so far. We haven't sat down and negotiated anything yet so you're really talking about two different things. Administration is all funded and under this current budget its 67500. It could be 140,00 but we have leverage in that you have a 12 year old non-profit that has committed project management to this project at no cost. Fiscal sponsors some will charge ½ %, 1% and 7000, 10% would be 70,000. So it depends on what the role and effort of the fiscal sponsor would be. Would you agree with that?
Thomas Nizo	Right. But what she's talking about is the taxation on the money that's coming in.
Robin Danner	There is no taxation that's why you require a 501c3 which is a tax exempt organization so that the money is not taxed. That's the whole point of having a fiscal sponsor to be the 501c3 tax organization that receives the money and then passes it to the project.
Thomas Nizo	So, Aunt Mary. Do you understand? There are certain thresholds. I know so you were just worried about exceeding those thresholds and exceeding those thresholds? So that being said. I think the other thing that Mr. Bulatao and Evelyn and Mary Jean was talking about in it being so long since the first time that the uncles came up with the project. At the very first inception of the project, I wasn't fully vested in the project and at the last meeting when he asked, when we were asked if we fully support the project we all said no but after tonight and speaking with certain people in the community, I feel very comfortable with where we are at and I don't think that it's the lack of communication on anyone's part. I think that it's this meeting here where we all had to get together and hash this thing out and hear it from each other that made this meeting and made me feel like putting in that yes vote. Without having everyone sitting at this table tonight it would've been iffy going out of this meeting tonight. But having the Mayor, KIUC, the County, the uncles, Robin, Fiscal sponsor and all of us here, I feel very, very comfortable on where we're at and the way you guys laid out that plan. So, with that being said, I think we need to have more of these all hands meetings so it's not a hearsay, what's been done, I hear this, I hear that and we can call on each other face to face so that was a good thing about this meeting so Mahalo to everybody.
Jose Bulatao	I still think as wonderfully in the way that we have progressed to include a lot more than what was originally proposed as was presented this evening. It does open the doors to a variety of options and choices for our community residents to consider which was not clearly available or articulated at least from my point of view so that we're all on the same page about how we can proceed forward to actualize the project. My fellow CAC members, if they check the minutes, I had originally asked for this meeting which

	<p>was rejected and in its place, the suggestion was to have this workshop. For me, I don't care what you call it. I don't care if my proposal was rejected. It still brought this moment, this time and this place for all of these things to come out. As pointed out by Mr. Bissell, there seems to have been a lack of appropriate communication when and where it should have occurred for a variety of reasons. I'm not pointing any fingers at anyone, I'm saying that this is the fact of the matter. Until we get to that point that from my point of view, that we all know and understand and not assume what is, what can, what might be possible? Who's in charge of what and why? From my point of view, we accepted the responsibility to be stewards of the funding coming to this community. As Evelyn pointed out, it was on her motion that we recommended that this thing move forward to the County people. From that point, December 7, 2011, those questions were articulated and never came back to us for a full discussion and understanding from my personal point of view. Now that we've clarified this, now we know there are further options, we still need to take care of the nuts and bolts in order to be responsible of what we do and how we do it. As good as it may be, we cannot assume that this is or this might be. Today was the first time we brought all parties to the table all at once to clarify, to understand, to make the adjustments as it may be and we need to continue along those lines of operations.</p>
Yvonne Hosaka	<p>Thank you Mr. B. I believe the conversations here tonight were really good and I'd like to thank you all again tonight for taking the time out of your busy schedules. A lot of the concerns Mr. B is sharing have to do with the process and procedures of these grant proposals and I believe that the CAC members would all agree that this should be an agenda item for them to further discuss prior to their next funding cycle to share what worked and didn't work in making the process better. It was unfortunate that the trials and tribulations occurred most with this specific project being the size and capacity that it was and it has been a learning process for the CAC but for the County as well I'm sure, and so it is hoped that with this learning experience the CAC will be able to move forward in fine tuning the entire process. So thank you Mr. B. With that being said, I'd like to move on to our next agenda item which would be any project recommendations so if anyone would like to provide additional recommendations we'll do it at this time.</p>
Bruce Pleas	<p>This is to the gentlemen. I know you had your April 3rd meeting, I was at that meeting, the community wanted to move forward with your original proposal which was basically what you've gone forward with. The concern that they had was the selection process and I feel good with what you have outlined and I'll leave it up to you if you think you need to take this back to the community or not on your final document and I'll be looking forward to the next CAC meeting so that we can move forward.</p>
Thomas Nizo	<p>I'm all about numbers, along with the application, and after you fill it out, is there a ranking system or is there an evaluation about how you're going to rank someone according to years or years lived in the house compared to being a renter. Evaluation Ranking system. I don't see a form.</p>
Robin Danner	<p>There's a form in there right after the application and it's the Solarize Kekaha Eligibility and/or Ranking Worksheet. I provided this for you so you could see what the Solarize Kekaha group would have to go through step by step to make a determination on every single application on first, to determine if their eligible and a owner-occupied resident of Kekaha, second was the final determination on length of residency and then down at the bottom to rank that application along with all the other applications that are there. You get a ranking order so I tried to provide a sample of what that ranking process would look like.</p>
Patrick Pereira	<p>Thomas, to answer your question. In order to be eligible, you have to own your own home and live in it. Now the second part of the criteria is, how long did you live in Kekaha totally. So you could have been renting, you could have been working for the plantation and living in their house all that years count. Not only the years that you lived in that house.</p>
Thomas Nizo	<p>So say someone owns their house for that 40 years and some other person was in the plantation house for 40 years. Do they have an equal balance or the person who owns the house has a point advantage over the person who was renting for those 40 years?</p>
Patrick Pereira	<p>Say for instance your mom. She lives right down the road since 1978. How many years is that. But maybe before that and she was renting the house. You know what I mean, all those years count. How many years you were a basic resident of Kekaha.</p>
Thomas Nizo	<p>I hear what you are saying but you guys are making that separation. One, being owner-occupied, two, just living in Kekaha. So you guys are making that separation.</p>
Patrick Pereira	<p>We neva make none of that, the community had make the rules.</p>

Thomas Nizo	Ok. I'm just asking. Is there a rank difference? Because that's the separation that you guys are making. That's all I'm asking.
Dennis Eguchi	Thomas, the key word that Bruce mentioned earlier was as of the date that the project is accepted and funded. Was that the agreement? Right. Ok, so if you owned your home for the last 40 years. As most of the residents here were plantation employees they rented their home so one of the questions by the community was, hey, that's not fair because I've been living in Kekaha all these years. So we have in here and Bruce clarified that we need to clarify it a little bit more but it should state that as of the date that the project is accepted and funded going forward, as of the date, all you have to do is live and own your own home so the years that you've lived in Kekaha also applies.
Robin Danner	Ranking is based on residency not based on ownership is what the uncles are trying to say.
Yvonne Hosaka	Ok. Are there anymore project recommendations?
Dennis Eguchi	Yvonne. Since we have a contractor here, would there be an opportune time to have the contractor could explain the nuts and bolts on once he receives an application with regards to what they would be responsible for. I know there were some concerns and I just want to make sure that they knew the exact procedure.
Yvonne Hosaka	Does the CAC wish to hear the process of the contractor?
Robin Danner	It's no different than the normal consumer process that everybody on the island accesses.
Myra Elliott	Thank you for putting your final proposal together simply and easy to understand. It answered all of my questions except for one little one. And it's not to delay anything.. Blood pressure.
Patrick Pereira	I like apologize. Thomas to you personally. I apologize for my boisterous attitude.
Myra Elliott	One quick question and maybe in the workshops it'll answer it. You have an open and close date for the application so will there be time limits to improve the structure will the grant be held and for how long? I'm just curious.
Robin Danner	So, there will have to be a timeline established for qualification and getting approved financing so not just making improvements to the home so to answer your question, the answer is yes we don't know what those timelines will be yet. That will have to be worked out after grant execution and we get into the weeds of the forms and we'll work with the County on this.
Myra Elliott	It'll probably take longer than a fiscal year right?
Robin Danner	Yes. Absolutely. And I would think that the people of Kekaha, the County, and the CAC would not want to force a project to have this spike, but to be leveled off for employment and the processing of permits.
Jose Bulatao	I'd like to bring this concern to the table also. If we're going to move forward with this project in any shape or form in terms of what was introduced today, as far as content and procedure is concerned, Who's responsibility is it to: #1, have a vetting process on who is going to coordinate what Solarize Kekaha has promised to do with or without a project director or a facilitator? #2, Does the CAC have any responsibility for that? Because the CAC was the body that recommended this to move forward. Does the CAC still have a responsibility in vetting the people who are going to be in charge of handling the funds in any which way? Let's hear it Mauna Kea?
Mauna Kea Trask	Aloha For the record, Deputy County Attorney, Mauna Kea Trask. The CAC was created by Mayor Carvalho to make recommendations to him on how to spend the HCB funds and that's the limit of responsibility and so I would just say and you're taking your job very seriously and it's been said that you've all done a very good job and you've all worked really hard and you really have and I think that you could have taken this very lightly. You could have just simply, done a survey, make a couple recommendations and then walk away from this but you haven't. You've done a lot but your responsibility really ends at making that recommendation and that's your tasks.
Jose Bulatao	Is that your opinion or is this a legal fact that you can document?

Mauna Kea Trask	I don't see how the two are mutually exclusive and it's under the charter and I don't have the charter section with me but citizen advisory committees are created by under the authority of the executive branch and in this case the Mayor to make recommendations. Also, as I have stated before, under my advice that this committee only exists only as long as Mayor Carvalho is in office. If he is no longer in office, it's up to the next executive to appoint or re-appoint you.
Jose Bulatao	Correct. But at the same time, It was you who recommended us to sign some disclosure forms about our financial background and property ownership and what not and we took that to the Board of Ethics and at that time you said we were at equal plane to Boards and Commissions and then I have an email from saying we are an informal group. So which is which?
Mauna Kea Trask	I stand by that. Wait one second. You asked me a question and I will give you an answer. I stand by my opinion that you are on power with the other officers. And I told you when I made that recommendation that it wasn't clear, that unlike the Sunshine Law which you are clearly held accountable to and underneath that there was some ambiguities. Now I'm not tasked with giving you the correct answer all the time. I'm tasked with working as hard as I can in giving you the best answer I can all the time and I've had some clients in my career and their in jail right now because I wasn't necessarily correct and that's the nature of an attorney. You don't hire me to be right. You hire me to give you advice. And I gave that advice and I was wrong with it and I accept that. I'm not the arbiter, I'm not the Board of Ethics and I made that clear at the time, they are. And they found as is their right, duty and responsibility. And I admit I wasn't right. But I don't think that my advice was bad to you and I don't think there was any fault in it and I'm sorry if I gave any of you that impression that I am right all the time and if any of you have that assumption. Please, I mean
Jose Bulatao	By that token Mauna Kea it may be that we may have a larger responsibility that you are applying us to have.
Mauna Kea Trask	Well, again Mr. Bulatao. I can only tell you what my advice is, I can site to the charter section and that's your job is recommendation. And that's all.
Jose Bulatao	Ok. I'm fine with that.
Mayor Carvalho	I'd like to say something. First of all, thank you everyone for coming again. This is just between me and my appointed CAC members I thank you so much for your heart and soul. This is between us now. Your responsibility to me and I appointed you for your hearts and souls and your leadership in this community. I need your help to move forward with this project which has been in the pipeline for a long time. I've not come, maybe to the first meeting and then asked to come to this meeting because we wanted to bring all the parties to the table to finally get to a place where we can please move forward. I want a recommendation from you to me and you need to take this information we got tonight, go back. We don't agree sometimes with the County Council, you're not going to all agree and I understand that, but I'm pleaded with you enough already. We need to move on. There have been many different issues happening along the way. I've heard all kinds of different situations that have happened throughout this process. I appointed you in 2009. And I appreciate your hearts and souls. You could have chosen not to be on the CAC but you chose to sit on this CAC thank you very much. So please look at all the information, make sure that you have the facts involved. You have the key people here tonight, KIUC, you have community, and you have our team here. We are all committed. I'm sitting here and listening to this and trying my best to understand and to go back and back, So please, we need to move forward. So you're that information and make your decision and let me know so that we can move forward in the spirit of aloha and with good tidings and making sure that this community benefits and we'll continue to work closely with you please. It's all I'm asking. So thank you again for your hearts and souls. You were chosen to help me. To come up with something. It's not about me. This is about the community enough already so thank you and thank you for the facilitation.
Yvonne Hosaka	Thank you Mayor. Ok. At this time we will take public testimony. We will limit your time so that everyone has a chance to speak.
Connie Castaneda, President (West)	Letter of support read by Connie Castaneda. Attached (Exhibit A)

Kauai Hawaiian Homestead Assn) (Public Testimony)	
Yvonne Hosaka	Thank you. Is there anyone else who wishes to come forward? Please state your name.
Lyle Bargamento (Public Testimony)	My name is Lyle Bargamento and I moved back to Kekaha in 2009 and I didn't know we had these meetings, I didn't know this committee existed. It seems like there's some kind of disagreement between members and it's been a long time that the money has been sitting there and I'm just wondering what the mission statement of the committee is and I think it was what to do with the money for all of the residents in Kekaha but as I look at this proposal it seems that it's only for less than 20% of the community. I don't see the rest of the community here. I've never been to any of the other meetings so I don't know what kind of turnout we had before. I don't know if they've just given up and thought that nothing was going to be decided. It's just there is a lot of money there is just about 750 homes here. I don't know how the job was to get the money out for the people, I'm new here, and I lived here in the 70's so I guess that time counts. There are a lot of things I wanted to say because I read this. It seems that the money is not going out to all of the community and it seems that it is selective to people who can afford to put the system on. I know this subsidizes the cost but these systems are expensive and I don't think all of the people in Kekaha can afford it so I think only some will be able to participate. This takes up mostly all of the money. I know there is going to be some money coming in for not sure how long once the landfill is relocated. Being that there is no one else here from the community speaking out against it, I don't know. I have a question. If we are not at home during the day, how does this save the resident money?
Debbie Santiago	You still have certain things turned on for example, your refrigerator, your freezer is on 24 / 7 so you still have some things on same with like water heater.
Lyle Bargamento (Public Testimony)	If you're home mainly at night, the impact wouldn't be as much as if you were home every day.
	Yes and No.
Lyle Bargamento (Public Testimony)	Ok. Alright well. Is this the end? Is this the proposal? It's most of the money so...
Bruce Pleas	No. We have spent approximately 120,000 on other projects so far this year and we still have approximately \$150,000 and will be starting another funding cycle soon. When you look here, this is a pretty good turnout for the CAC. There were 2 other community meetings, and the testimony there amazing to me was the younger group, your age were looking at the older generation deserved these. But I also look at it as to who will inherit these systems, their children. And yes, there is dissention here but as they say, that's what makes life nice because everybody is not the same. I welcome you to come to any of our future meetings.
Lyle Bargamento (Public Testimony)	Thank you.
Buddy Ayudan (Public)	Can I touch on some of the questions that he asked?
Yvonne Hosaka	I'd rather we stay focused on public testimony right now. You are more than welcomed to meet with him outside.

Linda DelaCruz (Public Testimony)	Hi. My name is Linda Dela Cruz and I am a resident here in Kekaha for over 40 years. I know the CAC has worked very hard in doing whatever their doing at the moment and I realize it's very difficult for what they have to do and for what they have to decide. I would like to comment for the County of Kauai for being here tonight, KIUC and you know this money has been sitting here for many years already and everybody, the residents already know that and everybody has come to these meetings, meeting after meeting. Everyone wants to see things move forward and I agree with Mayor Carvalho that we should move forward on this, maybe not for me, you know, I've only been here for 40 years but you know it could be for somebody so, hey let it happen. That's all I can say. Thank you.
Robert Sims (Public Testimony)	Hello, I'm Robert Sims for the record. I'll tell you an observation. For a number of years, people who could afford to get PV on their houses have been able to take advantage of Federal, Local and State rebates and have done quite well by that. Now we have a few people who probably couldn't afford to do that initially where this money may be able to help them and I don't see why those who are in a lesser financial position shouldn't benefit because nobody objected when the State, Federal Government was giving out grants to people who could afford to put this kind of thing on their houses. So why do we have so many objections now when people who deserve it should be helped and possibly being able to help with this money so I appreciate the Mayor saying go for it with this project. It's been many, many years. People have been putting working, putting their hearts and souls into it so from an outside point of view, I would say, do it. Thank you Mr. Pereira.
	Ok. Just to summarize what occurred tonight as presented by the project. There were some concerns shared by the CAC with regards to the list. One of the obstacles with KIUC was that list so I just wanted to be sure that we are all leaving here tonight and we are clear as to what the next steps are as to what further the CAC would like to see if not presented tonight that you would like in addition prior to the next CAC meeting so that you have anything further that you feel you may need before the next CAC meeting to make your determination.
Patrick Pereira	What list? The list of names. No. The project is moving forward.
Bruce Pleas	Yes. For myself, I see your process now, I have your forms. I am comfortable with it. I'm looking for this as an agenda item at our next meeting for approval or dis-approval. That's where I'm at and pretty much all along, I am in support of it at this point and it will be at our next meeting. I would. Just invite the community here at our next meeting and have them show up and voice any concerns after you've briefed them about it.
Dennis Eguchi	Bruce. The communities concern is why is it taking so long..
Glenn Molander	I concur with Bruce's comments that I would like to see us at the next meeting be ready to make a decision and not talk it to death. We don't need to spend three hours talking and put it off to the next meeting.
Thomas Nizo	That list that Yvonne mentioned was is that in that comments when we were all talking about having a process go forward and KIUC's getting that upgrades in place with the County and getting it through the process. But as far as putting this project forward, I'm for it. That was just one of the things that was an issue. It's not like it's going to stop the project for me.
Yvonne Hosaka	Ok. I just wanted to make sure that when we leave here tonight everyone is content with the information they received tonight and if the CAC in preparing for their decision at the next meeting that if there was any additional information that they needed in advance, they should bring it up now. That's my question posed to the CAC members.
Jose Bulatao	I'd like to respond to that Yvonne. I think it behooves us so that we can be totally very clear about in moving forward. That those questions that came from the County which were written up in December of 2011 also sometime in 2012 that since they were sent by Beth after the fact regardless of what we say we know or don't know, we need look over those questions to be sure that we are totally clear about those very valid questions that were posed to this project. Because we never did discuss as CAC members those valid questions that came from the County. If you check the minutes, if we did discuss them, it didn't come up in the exact question format that we received after the fact. When I check my minutes on those meetings, we talked about all of the small projects because those projects that were initially before us at that point in time. For me personally, there was a real great trust factor in what the PV project proposal gentlemen were doing along with the County entities in trying to iron out or come to some conclusion

	which I think led to what Robin presented today as far as more of the options that we were hoping would be considered. Now it gets to be part of what their saying, we should be considering, not solely the Photovoltaic. I think this makes a tremendous difference for those who are voting. I'm not even going to be able to vote yes or no.
Yvonne Hosaka	Ok. Thank you Mr. B. Can I ask the County if you were satisfied with the answers that were provided to you with regards to those questions that were on that list.
Jose Bulatao	Provided by whom?
Yvonne Hosaka	I have here in front of me; On January 9 th , 2012 this is a final form document from meeting #27, questions provided by the County and answers from the PV Project which are part of the minutes.
Beth Tokioka	Can I clarify? Those questions were generated. They were not sent after the fact. All of those questions were sent out prior to the CAC making their decision. When we received the short form and the long form proposal, we circulated those to our departments and generated questions that we forwarded to the CAC for them to consider as they consider these projects. Our intent was for the CAC to pose those questions to the various projects and to have the questions before the projects were recommended. That was the intent of those questions. It was not necessarily for a written response to come back to us. There were concerns that we had that we thought you should be aware of that you could clarify and that the projects when they were recommended, would be clean, the questions would no longer be there and they were all given to you well before you made your decision. So, we didn't necessarily expect those back but in the final analysis I don't know that many of those were really clarified prior to the recommendation being made. So after that when we started talking with the uncles and with Robin directly, that's when we started talking about all the issues that were surfaced in those questions so we could iron them out. So we never expected for you to answer them back to us. Those were food for thought for you as you made your decision so you could tighten up before the project was recommended.
Myra Elliott	And I think like, the County asked those questions and that's why this process has taken so long is that there ironing out all these questions and if the County is happy with what they responded with, I don't think we need to question that in my opinion.
Jose Bulatao	I agree with you Myra. It's not questioning. It's going over the essence of the questions that were raised for us to be in concept with what we have recommended in now its final form. We've never had the opportunity to do that yet because the final form actually came here tonight. The questions that brought about the final form were realized because of the validity of the questions that were asked of the uncles. When and where do we have the opportunity to either fine tune or to present our point of view about at least being responsible for knowing where we're moving forward because we're the ones who recommended, not me personally, what should be done with now more than the photovoltaic project.
Yvonne Hosaka	Ok. So what I am hearing is that you would like this to be an agenda item at the next CAC meeting. We're going to bring up these questions again? Or are we just going to discuss the proposal that was presented to us tonight?
Jose Bulatao	No. As Glenn pointed out to us. We don't need to discuss three hours to go over these with a fine tooth comb but if we review these questions on our own now so that we're prepared to come to that meeting and if we were to say, we are all fine and dandy, everything is up to par, let's take a vote. But we have not had an opportunity to do that. That's what I'm saying.
Mauna Kea Trask	In response to that, the appropriate agenda language should be discuss and deliberation and decision-making regarding the Solarize Kekaha Project. And we can just leave it at that, that would be comprehensive and that would allow the CAC to do their homework. You can even, as a matter of clarification for your own identification. The Sunshine Law doesn't prohibit you as individuals approaching the applicants and speaking with them directly. You're able to do that. You can't go together but you can individually approach them and ask them questions. Do your necessary homework and come prepared. It's that simple.
Yvonne Hosaka	Ok. Again, I just want to make sure that we leave this room and have no additional questions before our next meeting. Ok. Thank you.

Dennis Eguchi	Yvonne. The questions that the County posed to the CAC that supposedly they were supposed to ask us were we responsible to answer the questions or is the County happy with the answers that they were presented with?
Beth Tokioka	Like I said. They weren't necessarily questions that we wanted answers back in writing. They were questions that needed to be clarified and they have been through this process. I don't know Ben, do you have anything to answer.
Ben Sullivan	No. I am in agreement with that. This team did an extensive amount of work. I think what happened was that those questions were posed to you for you to consider at the time that you made your recommendation. You all decided to make your recommendation at that time so it didn't become valid for you so that happened and now those questions have been addressed and the project is ready to go forward.
Yvonne Hosaka	Ok. With that being said. I will let all of you know when the next meeting will be following the conclusion of the CAC meeting because the facilitator contract is on that agenda. Thank you Mayor, County Staff, KIUC and our CAC members so much for coming tonight. Thank you also community for coming out tonight. Keep an eye out for the Save the Date banner for the next meeting date. Thank you. We will take a five minute recess then call our CAC meeting to order. Thank you.
8:59 pm	Workshop Meeting Adjourned

Drafted by: _____
Yvonne Hosaka, CAC Facilitator

Submitted by: _____
Evelyn Olores, Secretary

Reviewed and Approved by: _____
Bruce Pleas, Chair

Approved as is
 Approved with amendments
See minutes of _____ meeting.